

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,102	KIM ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MARCIA S. NOBLE	1632	

All Participants:

Status of Application: *Response after Non-Final*

(1) MARCIA S. NOBLE. (3) ____.

(2) Tanya Arenson. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2011

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thaian N. Ton/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative, Tanya Arenson, was contacted on 1/6/2011 with a proposed Examiner's Amendment that would place the application in condition for allowance. Attorney Arenson consulted with Applicant and accepted the Examiner's Amendment on 1/12/2011..